# HYPERSURFACES WHOSE TANGENT GEODESICS OMIT A NONEMPTY SET 

Hilário Alencar and Katia Frensel

Dedicated to Manfredo P. do Carmo on his sixtieth birthday

## 1. Introduction

Let $Q_{c}^{n+1}$ be an $n+1$-dimensional, simply-connected, complete Riemannian manifold with constant sectional curvature $c$. Let $M^{n}$ be an $n$-dimensional connected manifold and $x: M^{n} \rightarrow Q_{c}^{n+1}$ be an immersion. For every point $p \in M^{n}$, let $\left(Q_{c}^{n}\right)_{p}$ be the totally geodesic hypersurface of $Q_{c}^{n+1}$ tangent to $x\left(M^{n}\right)$ at $x(p)$.

We will denote by

$$
W=Q_{c}^{n+1} \mid \bigcup_{p \in M}\left(Q_{c}^{n}\right)_{p}
$$

the set of points which are omitted by the totally geodesic hypersurfaces tangent to $x\left(M^{n}\right)$. In this work we study the immersions for which the set $W$ is nonempty.

The first known result in this direction is due to Halpern. He proved in [6] that every compact hypersurface immersed in the euclidean space with nonempty $W$ is diffeomorphic to the sphere and it is, in fact, embedded. We show that the same happens when the ambient space is $Q_{c}^{n+1}, c$ arbitrary (see Proposition 4.1). If, in addition, the immersion is isometric with constant mean curvature, we prove that $x\left(M^{n}\right)$ is, actually, a geodesic sphere (see Theorem 4.2). The case when $x$ is minimal, was proved by Pogorelov in [11].

Halpern also proved in [6] that if $M^{n}$ is compact and $x: M^{n} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ is an immersion with nonempty $W$, then $W$ is, in fact, open. In the case $M^{n}$ is complete noncompact there are several examples where the set $W$ is nonempty, but not open. One such example is the hyperboloid of one sheet in $\mathbb{R}^{3}$, for which the set $W$ consists of a single point. However, Hasanis and Koutroufiots proved in [7] that if an immersion $x: M^{2} \rightarrow Q_{c}^{3}, c \geq 0$, is minimal with nonempty $W$, then $x$ is totally geodesic. In particular, $W$ is open. The proof of this result uses
strongly the hypothesis that $M$ has dimension two. We show that the same holds for arbitrary dimensions if we assume, in addition, that the set $W$ is open (Theorem 3.1). Recently, the first author, in his Doctoral thesis at IMPA, gave examples of nontotally geodesics minimal hypersurfaces in $\mathbb{R}^{2 n}, n \geq 4$, with nonempty $W$.

This paper is organized as follows. In section 2 , we extend for $Q_{c}^{n+1}$, the notions of position vector and support function. This is essentially known (see, for instance, Heintze [8]) but, since we need the details, we will present a full exposition. A geometric interpretation of the support function is also presented in this section. In section 3, we study minimal immersions with nonempty $W$. We prove Theorem (3.1) above and show that every minimal hypersurface in $Q_{c}^{n+1}, c \leq 0$, with nonempty $W$ is stable. In section 4, we study the compact hypersurfaces in $Q_{c}^{n+1}$ with nonempty $W$.

We would like to thank M. P. do Carmo for suggesting this topic to us and for some ideas that lead us to Theorem (3.1).

## 2. Support Function in Spaces of Constant Curvature

Let $M^{n}$ be an oriented Riemannian manifold, and let $x: M^{n} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ be an isometric immersion. Given $p_{0} \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$, let $X(p)=p-p_{0}$ be the position vector with origin $p_{0}$. The support function $g: M^{n} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ of the immersion $x$ is given by

$$
g(p)=\langle x(p), N(p)\rangle
$$

where $N$ is a unit normal vector field of $x$. We will extend, for $Q_{c}^{n+1}, c \neq 0$, the notions of position vector and support function.

Let $S_{c}$ be a solution of the equation $y^{\prime \prime}+c y=0$, with initial conditions $y(0)=0$ and $y^{\prime}(0)=1$. Then

$$
S_{c}(r)= \begin{cases}r, & \text { if } \quad c=0 \\ \sin (\sqrt{c} r) / \sqrt{c}, & \text { if } \quad c>0 \\ \sinh (\sqrt{-c} r) / \sqrt{-c}, & \text { if } \quad c<0\end{cases}
$$

For every point $p_{0} \in Q_{c}^{n+1}$, we will consider the function $r(\cdot)=d\left(\cdot, p_{0}\right)$, where $d$ is the distance function of $Q_{c}^{n+1}$, and we will denote by $\operatorname{grad} r$ the gradient of the function $r$ in $Q_{c}^{n+1}$. We know that when $c=0$ the position vector with origin
$p_{0}$ is given by $X(p)=S_{0}(r)$ grad $r$. By analogy, the vector field, in $Q_{c}^{n+1}$, $X(p)=S_{c}(r) \operatorname{grad} r$ will be called position vector with origin $p_{0}$. When $c>0$, the distance function is differentiable in $Q_{c}^{n+1} /\left\{p_{0},-p_{0}\right\}$. Therefore, in this case, the position vector with origin $p_{0}$ is differentiable in $Q_{c}^{n+1} \mid\left\{p_{0},-p_{0}\right\}$.

Let $M^{n}$ be an oriented Riemannian manifold, $x: M^{n} \rightarrow Q_{c}^{n+1}$ an isometric immersion, and $N$ an unit normal vector field of $x$. As in the case $c=0$, the function $g: M^{n} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ defined by $g=\langle X, N\rangle$, where $X$ is the position vector with origin $p_{0}$, will be called the support function of the immersion $x$. In the case $c>0$, this function is differentiable if $x\left(M^{n}\right) \subseteq Q_{c}^{n+1} \mid\left\{p_{0},-p_{0}\right\}$.

For the case $c=0,|g(p)|, p \in M^{n}$, is the distance from $p_{0}$ to the tangent hyperplane to $x\left(M^{n}\right)$ at $x(p)$. We will now give a geometric interpretation of the support function $g$ for $c \neq 0$ that generalizes the above.

In the case $c>0$, we will assume that $Q_{c}^{n+1}$ is the sphere of radius $1 / \sqrt{c}$ in $\mathbb{R}^{n+2}$. Then, $|g(p)|, p \in M^{n}$, is the euclidean distance from the point $p_{0}$ to the hyperplane which contains the totally geodesic hypersurface tangent to $x\left(M^{n}\right)$ at $x(p)$. In fact, since

$$
\begin{equation*}
p_{0}=\cos (\sqrt{c} r(p)) p-\frac{\sin (\sqrt{c} r(p))}{\sqrt{c}} \operatorname{grad} r(p) \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle p_{0}, N(p)\right\rangle=-\frac{\sin (\sqrt{c} r(p))}{\sqrt{c}}\langle\operatorname{grad} r(p), N(p)\rangle=-g(p) . \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

So $|g(p)|=\left|\left\langle p_{0}, N(p)\right\rangle\right|$.
In the case $c<0$, let $L^{n+2}$ be the euclidean space $\mathbb{R}^{n+2}$ endowed with the Riemannian pseudo-metric $\langle\rangle\rangle$, defined by

$$
\langle\langle v, w\rangle\rangle=v_{1} w_{1}+v_{2} w_{2}+\ldots+v_{n+1} w_{n+1}-v_{n+2} w_{n+2},
$$

where $v=\left(v_{1}, \ldots, v_{n+2}\right)$ and $w=\left(w_{1}, \ldots, w_{n+2}\right)$ are vectors in $\mathbb{R}^{n+2}$. Let $\mathbb{H}^{n+1}(c)$ be the hypersurface of $L^{n+2}$ given by

$$
\mathbb{H}^{n+1}(c)=\left\{v \in L^{n+2} ; v_{n+2}>0 \text { and }\langle\langle v, v\rangle\rangle=\frac{1}{c}\right\} .
$$

It is well known that $\mathbb{H}^{n+1}(c)$ with the induced metric is a model of the hyperbolic space $Q_{c}^{n+1}$, called hyperboloid model.

We can assume, without loss of generality, that $p_{0}=(0, \cdots, 0,1 / \sqrt{-c})$. In this case, the euclidean distance from $p_{0}$ to the hyperplane that passes through the
origin of $\mathbb{R}^{n+2}$ and contains the totally geodesic hypersurface, $\left(Q_{c}^{n}\right)_{p}$, tangent to $x\left(M^{n}\right)$ at $x(p), p \in M^{n}$, is given by

$$
\frac{|g(p)|}{\sqrt{1+2 g(p)^{2}}} .
$$

In fact, since

$$
\begin{equation*}
p_{0}=\cosh (\sqrt{-c} r(p)) p-\frac{\sinh (\sqrt{-c} r(p))}{\sqrt{-c}} \operatorname{grad} r(p) \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

we have that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle\left\langle p_{0}, N(p)\right\rangle\right\rangle=-g(p) \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $N(p)=\left(N_{1}, \ldots, N_{n+1}, N_{n+2}\right)$. Then $\left\langle\left\langle p_{0}, N(p)\right\rangle\right\rangle=-N_{n+2}$ and $\left\langle p_{0}, N(p)\right\rangle=$ $N_{n+2}$, where $\langle$,$\rangle is the usual inner product. Since \langle\langle n(p), N(p)\rangle\rangle=1,\langle\langle p, N(p)\rangle\rangle=$ 0 and $\langle\langle v, N(p)\rangle\rangle=0$ for every $v \in T_{p}\left(Q_{c}^{n}\right)_{p}$, we have that

$$
\bar{N}(p)=\frac{\left(N_{1}, \ldots, N_{n+1}, N_{n+2}\right)}{\sqrt{1+2 N_{n+2}^{2}}}
$$

is an unit vector in $\mathbb{R}^{n+2}$ orthogonal to the hyperplane that passes through the origin of $\mathbb{R}^{n+2}$ and contains $\left(Q_{c}^{n}\right)_{p}$. Therefore, the euclidean distance from $p_{0}$ to this hyperplane is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\left\langle p_{0}, \bar{N}(p)\right\rangle\right|=\left|\frac{-N_{n+2}}{\sqrt{1+2 N_{n+2}^{2}}}\right|=\frac{|g(p)|}{\sqrt{1+2 g(p)^{2}}} \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

and this proves our assertion.
We now assume that the immersion $x: M^{n} \rightarrow Q_{c}^{n+1}$ has constant mean curvature $H$. Setting $\theta_{c}=S_{c}^{\prime}$, we have in the case $c=0$ that $\Delta g=-\|B\|^{2}$ $g-n H \theta_{c}$. The proposition below says that this equation holds for any $c$.
2.1 Proposition. Let $M^{n}$ be an oriented Riemannian manifold and let $x$ : $M^{n} \rightarrow Q_{c}^{n+1}$ be an isometric immersion with constant mean curvature $H$. Then

$$
\Delta g=-\|B\|^{2} g-n H \theta_{c},
$$

where $\Delta$ is the Laplacian in $M^{n}$ and $\|B\|$ is the norm of the second fundamental form $B$ of the immersion $x$.

Proof. The result was proved in [1] for the case $c=0$. If $c>0$ or $c<0$, we have by Lemma (3.3) in [2] that

$$
\Delta f=-\|B\|^{2} f+n c H h
$$

where $f(p)=\left\langle N(p), p_{0}\right\rangle, h(p)=\left\langle p, p_{0}\right\rangle$ and $\langle$,$\rangle denotes the euclidean and$ Lorentz inner product, respectively. But, from (2) and (4), $g=-f$, and from (1) and (3), $\theta_{c}=c h$. Therefore

$$
\Delta g=-\|B\|^{2} g-n H \theta_{c}
$$

The mean value equality (6) below generalizes for $c \neq 0$ the Minkowski's equality in $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}\left(c=0, \theta_{c}=1\right)$. For completeness, we will present a complete proof.
2.2 Proposition. (Heintze [8], pag. 19). Let $M^{n}$ be a compact Riemannian manifold and let $x: M^{n} \rightarrow Q_{c}^{n+1}$ be an isometric immersion. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{M} H g d A=-\int_{M} \theta_{c} d A \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $H$ is the mean curvature of $x$.

Proof. Let $X$ be the position vector with origin $p_{0}$ and $e_{1}, \ldots, e_{n}$ be a local orthonormal frame of $T M$. Denote by $\operatorname{div}_{M}$ the divergence in $M^{n}$, and by $X^{t}$ and $X^{N}$ the tangent and normal components, respectively, of the vector $X$.

Since $\left\langle X^{N}, e_{i}\right\rangle=0$, we have that $\left\langle\bar{\nabla}_{e_{i}} X^{N}, e_{i}\right\rangle=-\left\langle X,\left(\bar{\nabla}_{e_{i}} e_{i}\right)^{N}\right\rangle$, and so

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{div}_{M} X^{T} & =\sum_{j=1}^{n}\left\langle\bar{\nabla}_{e_{j}} X^{T}, e_{j}\right\rangle=\sum_{j=1}^{n}\left\langle\bar{\nabla}_{e_{j}} X, e_{j}\right\rangle-\sum_{j=1}^{n}\left\langle\bar{\nabla}_{e_{j}} X^{N}, e_{j}\right\rangle \\
& =\sum_{j=1}^{n}\left\langle\bar{\nabla}_{e_{j}} X, e_{j}\right\rangle+\sum_{j=1}^{n}\left\langle X,\left(\bar{\nabla}_{e_{j}} e_{j}\right)^{N}\right\rangle,
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\bar{\nabla}$ is the Riemannian connection of $Q_{c}^{n+1}$.
On the other hand, we have that $\sum_{j=1}^{n}\left\langle\bar{\nabla}_{e_{j}} X, e_{j}\right\rangle=n \theta_{c}$. In fact,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{j=1}^{n}\left\langle\bar{\nabla}_{e_{j}} X, e_{j}\right\rangle & =\sum_{j=1}^{n}\left\langle\bar{\nabla}_{e_{j}}\left(S_{c}(r) \operatorname{grad} r\right), e_{j}\right\rangle \\
& =\theta_{c}(r) \sum_{j=1}^{n}\left\langle\operatorname{grad} r, e_{j}\right\rangle^{2}+S_{c}(r) \sum_{j=1}^{n}\left\langle\bar{\nabla}_{e_{j}} \operatorname{grad} r, e_{j}\right\rangle .
\end{aligned}
$$

But, as we can see in (Jorge, Koutroufiotis [10], pg. 713), we have that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle\bar{\nabla}_{v} \operatorname{grad} r, w\right\rangle=\frac{\theta_{c}}{S_{c}}(\langle v, w\rangle-\langle\operatorname{grad} r, v\rangle\langle\operatorname{grad} r, w\rangle) \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

for any vector fields $v, w$ in $Q_{c}^{n+1}$.
Then, from (7) and (8),

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{j=1}^{n}\left\langle\bar{\nabla}_{e_{j}} X, e_{j}\right\rangle & =\theta_{c}(r) \sum_{j=1}^{n}\left\langle\operatorname{grad} r, e_{j}\right\rangle^{2}+\theta_{c}(r) \sum_{j=1}^{n}\left(1-\left\langle\operatorname{grad} r, e_{j}\right\rangle^{2}\right) \\
& =n \theta_{c}
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus, since $\sum_{j=1}^{n}\left(\bar{\nabla}_{e_{j}} e_{j}\right)^{N}=H N$,

$$
\operatorname{div}_{M} X^{T}=n \theta_{c}+n H g
$$

By integrating the above expression over $M^{n}$, we obtain

$$
\int_{M} H g d A=-\int_{M} \theta_{c} d A
$$

This complete the proof.
2.3 Remark. In [8], assuming only that the sectional curvature of the ambient space is bounded above, it is proven that an inequality still holds in the last proposition.

## 3. Minimal Hypersurfaces with Nonempty $W$

3.1 Theorem. Let $M^{n}$ be a complete Riemannian manifold and let $x: M^{n} \rightarrow$ $Q_{c}^{n+1}$ be an isometric minimal immersion. If the set $W$ is open and nonempty, then $x$ is totally geodesic.

Proof. Let $p_{0} \in W$ and $X$ be the position vector with origin $p_{0}$. For each point $p \in M^{n}$, let $N(p)$ be the unit normal vector to $X\left(M^{n}\right)$ at $x(p)$ such that $\langle X(p), N(p)\rangle>0$. This gives $M^{n}$ an orientation, according to which the support function $g=\langle X, N\rangle$ is positive.

Let $d=\inf \left\{g(p) ; p \in M^{n}\right\}$. Assume that there is a point $p \in M^{n}$ such that $g(p)=d$. Since, from (1.2), $\Delta g=-\|B\|^{2} g$, we have $\Delta g \leq 0$. Then, from the Maximum Principle, $g$ is constant equal to $d$. Thus $\|B\| \equiv 0$, i.e., $x$ is totally geodesic, for $\Delta g=0$ and $g$ vanishes nowhere.

Therefore, the proof will be complete if we show that there is a point $p \in M^{n}$ such that $g(p)=d$. For that, we will consider a sequence of points $\left\{p_{k}\right\}_{k \geq 0}$ in $M^{n}$ such that $g\left(p_{k}\right) \rightarrow d$, when $k \rightarrow \infty$.

We will treat separately the cases $c=0, c>0$ and $c<0$, and we will assume, without loss of generality, that $c=1$, when $c>0$ and $c=-1$ when $c<0$.

Case $c=0$. For each point $p_{k}$, we will consider the point $q_{k}$, intersection of $T_{p_{k}} M^{n}$ with the perpendicular line to $T_{p_{k}} M^{n}$ which passes through $p_{0}$. Since $d\left(q_{k}, p_{0}\right)=g\left(p_{k}\right)$ is a bounded sequence, there is a subsequence $\left\{q_{k_{j}}\right\}$ that converges to a point $q \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$. Then $q \in T_{p} M^{n}$ for some point $p \in M^{n}$, since $\cup_{p \in M} T_{p} M^{n}$ is closed and $q_{k} \in T_{p_{k}} M^{n}$ for every $k$. Therefore $g(p)=$ $d\left(p_{0}, T_{p} M\right)=d$, for $d\left(p_{0}, q\right)=d$ and

$$
d \leq d\left(p_{0}, T_{p} M\right) \leq d\left(p_{0}, q\right)=d
$$

Case $c=1$. For each point $p_{k}$, let $s_{k}$ be the orthogonal projection of $p_{0}$ over the hyperplane of $\mathbb{R}^{n+2}$ which contains $\left(Q_{c}^{n}\right)_{p_{k}}$ and let $q_{k}$ be the intersection of $\left(Q_{c}^{n}\right)_{p_{k}}$ with the line which passes through the origin and the point $s_{k}$. Since, for every $k, q_{k} \in Q_{c}^{n+1}=S^{n+1}$ and $s_{k} \in \mathbb{B}^{n+2}=\left\{p \in \mathbb{R}^{n+2} ;\|p\| \leq 1\right\}$, there is a subsequence $k_{j}$ such that $\left\{q_{k_{j}}\right\}$ converges to a point $q \in S^{n+1}$ and $\left\{s_{k_{j}}\right\}$ converges to a point $s \in \mathbb{B}^{n+2}$. Then $q \in\left(Q_{c}^{n}\right)_{p}$ for some point $p \in M^{n}$, since $\cup_{p \in M}\left(Q_{c}^{n}\right)_{p}$ is closed in $S^{n+1}$. Moreover, $s$ and $q$ are colinear, because $s_{k}$ and $q_{k}$ are colinear for every $k$. Thus $s$ belongs to the hyperplane $L_{p}$ of $\mathbb{R}^{n+2}$ that contains $\left(Q_{c}^{n}\right)_{p}$. Since $g\left(p_{k}\right)=d\left(s_{k}, p_{0}\right)$ and

$$
d \leq g(p)=d\left(p_{0}, L_{p}\right) \leq d\left(p_{0}, s\right)=\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} d\left(s_{k}, p_{0}\right)=d
$$

we have that $g(p)=d$.
Case $c=-1$. To prove the theorem in this case we will use the hyperboloid model of $Q_{c}^{n+1}$ (cf. section 2). In the same way as in the preceeding case, we can define the point $s_{k}$. Form (5), the euclidean distance of $p_{0}$ to the hyperplane of $\mathbb{R}^{n+2}$ which passes through the origin and contains $\left(Q_{c}^{n}\right)_{p_{k}}$ is given by

$$
\left\|s_{k}-p_{o}\right\| \frac{g\left(p_{k}\right)}{\sqrt{1+2 g\left(p_{k}\right)^{2}}}
$$

where \| \| is the euclidean norm.

We assert that $\left\langle\left\langle s_{k}, s_{k}\right\rangle\right\rangle<0$, where $\langle\langle\rangle$,$\rangle is the Lorentz inner product. If$ $\left\langle\left\langle s_{k}, s_{k}\right\rangle\right\rangle \geq 0$, we have

$$
\left\|s_{k}-p_{o}\right\| \geq \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2}
$$

since $s_{k}$ and $s_{k}-p_{0}$ are perpendicular. Then

$$
\frac{g\left(p_{k}\right)^{2}}{1+2 g\left(p_{k}\right)^{2}} \geq \frac{1}{2}
$$

which is a contradiction and proves the assertion.
Let $\lambda_{k}>0$ be such that $\lambda_{k}^{2}\left\langle\left\langle s_{k}, s_{k}\right\rangle\right\rangle=-1$, and let $q_{k}=\lambda_{k} s_{k}$, i.e., $q_{k}$ is the intersection of $\left(Q_{c}^{n}\right)_{p_{k}}$ with the line which passes through the origin an through $s_{k}$.

Since the sequence $\left\{s_{k}\right\}_{k \geq 0}$ is bounded, by passing to a subsequence if necessary, there exists a point $s$ such that $s_{k} \rightarrow s$, as $k \rightarrow \infty$. We can prove, as before, that $\langle\langle s, s\rangle\rangle<0$, since $s$ and $s-p_{0}$ are perpendicular and $\left\|s-p_{0}\right\|^{2}=\frac{d^{2}}{1+2 d^{2}}$. Thus the sequence $\left\{q_{k}\right\}$ is bounded, since the sequence $\left\{\frac{1}{\left\langle\left\langle s_{k}, s_{k}\right\rangle\right\rangle}\right\}$ is bounded from below by a positive constant and

$$
\left\|q_{k}\right\|^{2}=-\frac{\left\|s_{k}\right\|^{2}}{\left\langle\left\langle s_{k}, s_{k}\right\rangle\right\rangle}
$$

Let $\left\{q_{k_{j}}\right\}$ be a subsequence which converges to a point $q \in Q_{c}^{n+1}$. Since $\cup_{p \in M}\left(Q_{c}^{n}\right)_{p}$ is closed, and $q_{k} \in\left(Q_{c}^{n}\right)_{p_{k}}$ for every $k$, we have that $q \in\left(Q_{c}^{n}\right)_{p}$, for some point $p \in M^{n}$. Moreover, $s$ belongs to the hyperplane $L_{p}$ of $\mathbb{R}^{n+2}$ which contains $\left(Q_{c}^{n}\right)_{p}$, for $s$ and $q$ are colinear. Thus $g(p)=d$, since

$$
\frac{g(p)}{\sqrt{1+2 g(p)^{2}}}=d\left(p_{0}, L_{p}\right) \leq\left\|s-p_{0}\right\|=\frac{d}{\sqrt{1+2 d^{2}}},
$$

where $d\left(p_{0}, L_{p}\right)$ is the euclidean distance from $p_{0}$ to $L_{p}$.
When the set $W$ is only nonempty, we have obtained the following result, for the cases $c \leq 0$.
3.2 Proposition. Let $M^{n}$ be a complete Riemannian manifold and $x: M^{n} \rightarrow$ $Q_{c}^{n+1}, c \leq 0$, be a minimal isometric immersion. If $W$ is nonempty, then $x$ is stable.
Proof. Let $p_{0} \in W$ and $X$ be the position vector with origin $p_{0}$. Since $p_{0} \in W$, we can choose an orientation $N$ in $M^{n}$ for which the support function $g=\langle X, N\rangle$
is positive. From Proposition (2.1), $\Delta g+\|B\|^{2} g=0$. In ([4], Theorem 1) F. Colbrie and R. Schoen proved that an operator of the type $\Delta+q$, where $q: M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a differentiable function, is positive if and only if there is a positive differentiable function $f: M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that $\Delta f+q f=0$. Since the support function is positive and $\Delta g+\|B\|^{2} g=0$, the operator $\Delta+\|B\|^{2}$ is positive definite, i.e.,

$$
\int_{M}\left(|\operatorname{grad} f|^{2}-\|B\|^{2} f^{2}\right) d A>0
$$

for every nonzero function $f: M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ with compact support in $M^{n}$. Then, if $c \leq 0$,

$$
\int_{M}\left(|\operatorname{grad} f|^{2}-\left(\|B\|^{2}+n c\right) f^{2}\right) d A>0
$$

for every such function $f$. Since the Ricci curvature of $Q_{c}^{n+1}$ is $n c$, we obtain that the operator $A+\|B\|^{2}+\operatorname{Ricc}(N)$ is positive definite, i.e., $x$ is stable.
3.3 Remark. From the result of Hasanis and Koutroufiotis mentioned in the introduction we have that the above proposition doesn't hold for $c>0$.
3.4 Remark. In ([5], pg. 57) J. Gomes gave examples of stable minimal hypersurface in $Q_{c}^{n+1}, c<0$, which are not totally geodesic. For these hypersurfaces it is easy to see that the set $W$ is empty. Then the converse of the above proposition is not true.

## 4. Compact Hypersurfaces with Nonempty $W$

We first generalize the result of Halpern mentioned in the introduction.
4.1 Proposition. Let $M^{n}$ be a connected, compact manifold, and let $x: M^{n} \rightarrow$ $Q_{c}^{n+1}$ be an immersion. If $W$ is nonempty, then $M^{n}$ is diffeomorphic to the sphere $S^{n}$ and $x$ is an embedding.

Proof. The case $c=0$ has been proved by Halpern in [6]. Let $c<0$ and let $\mathbb{R}_{+}^{n+1}=\left\{\left(v_{1}, \ldots, v_{n+1}\right) \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1} ; v_{n+1}>0\right\}$ be the euclidean half space with the usual metric. To prove the proposition in this case, it is sufficient to notice that there is a diffeomorphism $B: Q_{c}^{n+1} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{+}^{n+1}$ which preserves the totally geodesic submanifolds. This mapping, usually known as Beltrami's mapping can be found,
for instance in (do Carmo, Warner [3], pg. 142). Since a diffeomorphism preserves tangency, the above result follows immediately from the case $c=0$.

To prove the case $c>0$, we will make use of an argument which was used by Halpern in [6]. Let $p_{0} \in W$. We can assume, without loss of generality, that $c=1$ and $p_{0}=e_{n+1}=(0, \ldots, 0,1)$. Since $e_{n+1} \in W,-e_{n+1} \notin x\left(M^{n}\right)$, because every totally geodesic hypersurface of $S^{n+1}$ which passes through $-e_{n+1}$ also passes through $e_{n+1}$. Since $x\left(M^{n}\right) \subseteq S^{n+1} \mid\left\{e_{n+1},-e_{n+1}\right\}$, the function $r(\cdot)=$ $d\left(\cdot, e_{n+1}\right)$ is differentiable in $x\left(M^{n}\right)$, and $\left(\exp _{e_{n+1}}\right)^{-1}: x\left(M^{n}\right) \rightarrow B_{\pi}(0)$ is well defined; here $\exp _{e_{n+1}}$ is the exponential map of $S^{n+1}$ at $e_{n+1}$ and

$$
B_{\pi}(0)=\left\{v \in T_{e_{n+1}} S^{n+1} ;\|v\|<\pi\right\}
$$

Let $p \in S^{n+1} \mid\left\{e_{n+1},-e_{n+1}\right\}$ and let $\gamma_{p}:[0, \pi) \rightarrow S^{n+1}$ be the geodesic such that $\gamma_{p}(0)=e_{n+1}$ and $\gamma_{p}(r(p))=p$. There exists a unit vector $v(p) \in$ $T_{e_{n+1}} S^{n+1}, v(p)=\exp _{e_{n+1}}^{-1}(p) \mid r(p)$, such that

$$
\gamma_{p}(t)=\cos t e_{n+1}+\sin t v(p)
$$

Since $\gamma_{p}(r(p))=p$, we have

$$
v(p)=\left(p-\cos r(p) e_{n+1}\right) \mid \sin r(p) .
$$

Now let $\rho: S^{n+1} \mid\left\{e_{n+1},-e_{n+1}\right\} \rightarrow S^{n}$ be the map which associates to each point $p$, the point $\gamma_{p}(\pi / 2)$. This map is a kind of Gauss' map, and $\rho(p)=v(p)$. Since

$$
\begin{aligned}
d \rho_{p}(w)= & \frac{1}{(\sin r(p))^{2}}\left[\left(w+d r_{p}(w) \sin r(p) e_{n+1}\right) \sin r(p)\right. \\
& \left.-d r_{p}(w) \cos r(p)\left(p-\cos r(p) e_{n+1}\right)\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

we have that $d \rho_{p}(w)=0$, if and only if,

$$
\begin{aligned}
w & =d r_{p}(w)\left(\frac{\cos r(p)}{\sin r(p)}\left(p-\cos r(p) e_{n+1}\right)-\sin r(p) e_{n+1}\right) \\
& =d r_{p}(w)\left(\cos r(p) v(p)-\sin r(p) e_{n+1}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus $d \rho_{p}(w)=0$, if and only if, $w$ is a scalar multiple of $\gamma_{p}^{\prime}(r(p))$, since

$$
\gamma_{p}^{\prime}(r(p))=-\sin r(p) e_{n+1}+\cos r(p) v(p)
$$

We will now consider the map $F=\rho \circ x: M^{n} \rightarrow S^{n}$. Since $e_{n+1} \notin W$, $\gamma_{p}^{\prime}(r(p)) \notin d x_{p}\left(T_{p} M\right)$. Then the map $F$ is a local diffeomorphism. On the other hand, since $M^{n}$ is compact and $S^{n}$ is simply connected, $F$ is a diffeomorphism. So $x$ is an embedding, for $F=\rho \circ x$.

In the compact case with constant mean curvature we obtain the following result.
4.2 Theorem. Let $M^{n}$ be a connected, compact Riemannian manifold and let $x: M^{n} \rightarrow Q_{c}^{n+1}$ be an isometric immersion with constant mean curvature $H$. Then $W$ is nonempty, if and only if, $x$ is umbilic, i.e., $x\left(M^{n}\right)$ is a geodesic sphere of $Q_{n}^{n+1}$.

Proof. Let $p_{0} \in W$ and $X$ be the position vector with origin $p_{0}$. Since $p_{0} \in W$, the support function $g=\langle X, N\rangle$ is nonzero at every point. We can assume that $g>0$.

From Proposition (2.1), $\Delta g=-\|B\|^{2} g-n H \theta_{c}$. By integrating this expression over $M^{n}$, and by using Stokes' Theorem, we obtain

$$
0=\int_{M} \Delta g d A=-\int_{M}\left(\|B\|^{2} g+n H \theta_{c}\right) d A
$$

Thus $\int_{M}\|B\|^{2} g d A=-n H \int_{M} \theta_{c} d A$. But, from (6),

$$
\int_{M}\|B\|^{2} g d A=-n H \int_{M} \theta_{c} d A=n H^{2} \int_{M} g d A
$$

Since $\|B\|^{2} \geq n H^{2}$ and $g>0$, we have that $\|B\|^{2}=n H^{2}$, which proves that the immersion is umbilic.
4.3 Remark. Alexandrov's Theorem says that if $x: M^{n} \rightarrow Q_{c}^{n+1}, c \leq 0$, is an isometric embedding with constant mean curvature, then $x\left(M^{n}\right)$ is a geodesic sphere. For the case $c>0$, this result holds if $x\left(M^{n}\right)$ is contained in an hemisphere of $Q_{c}^{n+1}$. Therefore, Alexandrov's Theorem, together with Proposition (4.1), gives another proof of Theorem (2.2), with the restriction made above when $c>0$.
4.4 Remark. Examples of tori in $\mathbb{R}^{3}$ (see Wente [12]) and nonumbilic immersions $x: S^{n} \rightarrow Q_{c}^{n+1}, c \leq 0$, with constant mean curvature (see Gomes [5], Hsiang [9]) are known. Therefore, in these examples, the set $W$ is empty.

In (L. Barbosa, do Carmo, Eschenburg [2]) the following theorem was proved: Let $M^{n}$ be a compact Riemannian manifold and $x: M^{n} \rightarrow Q_{c}^{n+1}$ be an isometric immersion with constant mean curvature. Then $x$ is stable, if and only if, $x$ is umbilic. From this result, we obtain the following Corollary of Theorem (4.2).
4.5 Corollary. Let $M^{n}$ be a compact Riemannian manifold, and let x: $M^{n} \rightarrow$ $Q_{c}^{n+1}$ be an isometric immersion with constant mean curvature. Then $W$ is nonempty, if and only if, $x$ is stable.
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