# Remarks on the Growth of Functions and the Weak Stability of Hypersurfaces with Constant Mean Curvature

### H. ALENCAR and M. DO CARMO

IMPA – Instituto de Matemática Pura e Aplicada 22460–320 Rio de Janeiro, RJ, Brasil

## ABSTRACT

We show that a weakly stable complete noncompact hypersurface  $M^n$  of  $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ ,  $n \leq 5$ , with constant mean curvature is a hyperplane provided certain conditions hold.

Key words: Constant mean curvature, stability, growth of functions.

#### INTRODUCTION

We want to consider the following question. In (Alencar & do Carmo, (1994), Theorem 4) we proved a result on strongly stable hypersurfaces of  $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$  with constant mean curvature H. The question is wether the theorem can be extended for the weakly stable case. We recall that M is weakly stable if for all piecewise smooth functions  $f: M \to R$  with compact support and mean value zero, i.e.,  $\int_M f dM = 0$ , we have

$$\int_{M} |\nabla f|^2 \ge \int_{M} |A|^2 f^2 dM.$$

Here  $|\nabla f|^2$  is the gradient of f in the induced metric and  $|A|^2$  is the square of the norm of the linear map A associated to the second fundamental form.

We start with a proposition that will give a test function for weak stability.

 $\label{eq:proposition 1} {\rm PROPOSITION \ 1. \ Let \ M \ be \ a \ complete \ noncompact \ Riemannian \ manifold, \ and \ let}$ 

 $g\colon M\to \mathbb{R}, \quad g\ge 0,$ 

be a  $C^{\infty}$  function. Let  $x_0 \in M$  and denote by  $\rho(x) = d(x, x_0)$ , where d is the geodesic distance in M. Then there exists a function

$$\label{eq:expectation} \begin{split} \xi \in C_0^0(M), \\ \xi \text{ piecewise linear, with } \xi(x) \leq 1 \text{ if } \rho(x) \leq R \ (R \text{ a fixed number}), \end{split}$$

 $\xi(x) = 0 \quad if \quad \rho(x) \ge 4R,$ 

 $|\nabla\xi|$  or bounded, and  $\int_M g\xi=0.$ 

H. Alencar and M. do Carmo were partially supported by the National Council for Scientific and Technological Development – CNPq of Brazil.

PROOF. Choose  $0 < \delta \leq R/4$ , and a > 0. Define a family  $\xi_a$  of functions parametrized by a as follows:  $\xi_a(x) = 1, \qquad \qquad 0 \leq x \leq R - \delta,$ 

$$\begin{aligned} \xi_a(x) &= \frac{R-x}{\delta}, & R-\delta \le x \le R, \\ \xi_a(x) &= 0, & R \le x \le 2R-\delta, \\ \xi_a(x) &= \frac{(2R-\delta)a-ax}{\delta}, & 2R-\delta \le x \le 2R, \\ \xi_a(x) &= -a, & 2R \le x \le 3R, \\ \xi_a(x) &= \frac{ax-(3R+\delta)}{\delta}, & 3R \le x \le 3R+\delta, \\ \xi_a(x) &= 0, & 3R+\delta \le x \le \infty \end{aligned}$$

Then

$$\int_{M} g\xi_{a} = \int_{B(R)} g\xi_{a} + \int_{B(2R) - B(2R - \delta)} g\xi_{a} - a \int_{B(3R) - B(2R)} g + \int_{B(3R + \delta) - B(3R)} g\xi_{a}$$

The first term is positive and the last three terms are negative. Clearly, if a is small enough, the integral is positive and if a is large the integral is negative. Thus there exists an a such that  $\int_M g\xi_a = 0$ . Furthermore, since

$$0 = \int_M g\xi_a \leq \int_{B(R)} g - a \int_{B(3R) - B(2R)} g,$$

such an a is bounded by

$$a \leq \int_{B(R)} g / \int_{B(3R) - B(2R)} g.$$

We will need that the *a* found in the above proof bounded as  $R \to \infty$ . We will say that the positive real functions *f* and *h* have the same order if

$$\lim_{R \to \infty} \sup f(R) / h(R) = c > 0$$

REMARK 1. The usual definition that f and h have the same order is that, for large R,

 $0\leq\delta\leq f(R)/h(R)\leq\Delta,$ 

for fixed  $\delta$  and  $\Delta$  (see Hardy, G. H., (1954), p. 2). This definition implies (but it is stronger than) our definition.

PROPOSITION 2. Notations being as in Proposition 1, let  $f(R) = \int_{B(R)} g$ . Then the number a found in the proof of Proposition 1 is bounded as  $R \to \infty$  if there exists a positive function h(R) which has the same order as f and, in addition, has the property that for every sequence  $R_i, i = 1, \dots, going$  to infinity,

$$\lim_{R_i \to \infty} \frac{h(nR_i)}{h(mR_i)} = \gamma_{n,m} < 1, \quad if \ n < m.$$

PROOF The fact that

(1)

$$rightarrow \infty h(mR_i)$$
  
 $\lim_{R \to \infty} \sup f(R)/h(R) = c > 0$ 

implies that for every  $\delta > 0$ (2)

$$f(R) \leq \overline{c}h(R), \quad \overline{c} = c(1{+}\delta).$$

164

Furthermore, there exists a sequence  $Q_i$  of real numbers going to infinity such that (3)  $\lim_{Q_i \to \infty} f(Q_i)/h(Q_i) = c.$ 

Now, take a sequence  $\{R_i\} = \{Q_i/3\}$  and compute

$$\begin{split} \lim_{R_i \to \infty} a(R_i) &\leq \lim_{R_i \to \infty} \frac{f(R_i)}{f(3R_i) - f(2R_i)} \\ &\leq \lim \frac{\overline{c}h(R_i)}{f(3R_i) - \overline{c}h(2R_i)} \\ &\leq \lim \frac{\frac{\overline{c}h(R_i)}{\overline{c}h(3R_i)}}{\frac{\overline{c}h(3R_i)}{\overline{c}h(3R_i)} - \frac{h(2R_i)}{h(3R_i)}} \\ &= \frac{\gamma_{13}}{\frac{c}{c} - \gamma_{23}} = \frac{\gamma_{13}}{\frac{1}{1 + \delta} - \gamma_{23}}, \end{split}$$

where we have used (2), (3) and (1). Since we can choose  $\delta$  small enough so that the denominator is strictly positive,  $a(R_i)$  is bound REMARK 2 There are many functions h(R) that satisfy

$$\lim_{R_i} \frac{h(nR_i)}{h(mR_i)} < 1, \quad \text{if} \quad m < n.$$

For instance, for every positive  $\alpha$ ,  $R^{\alpha}$  is such a function and so is  $e^{R^{\alpha}}$ . Indeed,

$$\lim \frac{(nR)^{\alpha}}{(mR)^{\alpha}} = \left(\frac{n}{m}\right)^{\alpha} < 1, \quad \text{if} \quad n < m,$$
$$\lim \frac{e^{nR^{\alpha}}}{e^{mR^{\alpha}}} = \lim \frac{1}{e^{(m-n)R^{\alpha}}} = 0, \quad \text{if} \quad n < m.$$

In fact, one easily checks that  $e^{e^R}$  also satisfies the above condition. On the other hand,  $\log(R)$  does not satisfy the condition, since

$$\lim_{R \to \infty} \frac{\log nR}{\log mR} = \lim \frac{\frac{\log n}{\log R} + 1}{\frac{\log m}{\log R} + 1} = 1.$$

As an application of the above ideas, we will show that the question posed in the beginning of this note has an affirmative answer provided that  $f(R) = \int_{B(R)} |\phi|^{1+q} dM$  grows with the same order as a positive function h(R) that satisfies

$$\lim \frac{h(mR)}{h(nR)} < 1, \quad \text{if } m < n$$

(recall that  $\phi = -A + HI$ ). More precisely, THEOREM. Let  $M^n$ ,  $n \leq 5$ , be a complete noncompact hypersurface of  $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$  with constant mean curvature H. Assume that M is (weakly) stable and that

$$\lim_{R \to \infty} \frac{\int_{B_R} |\phi|^2 dM}{R^{2+2q}} = 0, \quad q \leq \frac{2}{6n+1}.$$

In addition, assume that for some q, the function

$$f(R) = \int_{B(R)} |\phi|^{1+q} dM$$

# satisfies the following: There exists a positive function h(R) such that $\lim_{R \to \infty} \sup f(R) / h(R) = c > 0$

and

$$\lim_{R \to \infty} \frac{h(mR)}{h(nR)} < 1, \quad \text{if } m < n.$$

Then  $M^n$  is a hyperplane. PROOF. Set in Proposition 1,  $g = |\phi|^{1+q}$  with the q given in the statement of the theorem. Since  $\int_M \xi_a |\phi|^{1+q} = 0$ , the integrand can be used as a test function in the (weak) stability. Proceeding as in loc. cit. we arrive at

$$\int_{M} \xi_{a}^{2+2q} |\phi|^{2+2q} \le \beta_{3} \int_{M} |\phi|^{2} |\nabla \xi_{a}|^{2+2q}$$

(which is Eq. (17) of loc. cit. where we changed f to  $\xi_a$  to conform to our present notation). By using the definition of  $\xi_a$  and setting  $\delta = R/4$ , we obtain

$$\begin{split} \int_{B(R-\delta)} |\phi|^{2+2q} &\leq \int_{B(R-\delta)} \xi_a^{2+2q} |\phi|^{2+2q} \\ &\leq \int_M \xi_a^{2+2q} |\phi|^{2+2q} \\ &\leq \beta_3 \int_{B(4R)} |\phi|^2 |\nabla \xi_a|^{2+2q} \\ &\leq \beta_3 \left( \left(\frac{4}{R}\right)^{2+2q} + \left(\frac{8a}{R}\right)^{2+2q} \right) \int_{B(4R)} |\phi|^2 \\ &\leq \beta_3 (4^{2+2q} + (8a)^{2+2q}) \frac{1}{R^{2+2q}} \int_{B(4R)} |\phi|^2. \end{split}$$

Now, let R go to infinity. Since a is bounded and  $\lim_{R\to\infty} \frac{1}{R^{2+2q}} \int_M |\phi|^2 = 0$ , we see that  $|\phi| \equiv 0$ , and since M is complete noncompact, M is a hyperplane.

#### References

ALENCAR, H. & DO CARMO, M., (1994) Hypersurfaces with constant mean curvature in space forms. An. Acad. Bras. Ci., 66 (3): 265-274.

HARDY, G. H., (1954), Orders of Infinity, Cambridge University Press.